Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,836	DHUGGA, KANWARPAL S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Russell Kallis	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) <u>Russell Kallis</u>	(3)	
(2) <u>Kethryn Lappegard</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 April 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.	,*	
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:	·	o
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAl proposed examiner's amendment to bring claims into condition		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	e examiner will provide a writ ecord of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview.
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
	The second of th	.gstaro ii appropriate)